

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Why are large persistent currents observed in small gold rings?

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1995 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 7 2021 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/7/10/010)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.179 The article was downloaded on 13/05/2010 at 12:42

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 7 (1995) 2021-2035. Printed in the UK

Why are large persistent currents observed in small gold rings?

George Kirczenow

Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada V5A 1S6

Received 12 September 1994

Abstract. It is demonstrated using three-dimensional computer simulations that some simple non-interacting electron models that include electron scattering by grain boundaries exhibit coexistence of large persistent currents and small conductances, similar to that observed experimentally in isolated micrometre-scale gold rings. Models with simple grain boundaries, and models with small numbers of regularly stepped or atomically rough dilute grain boundaries, have been studied and found to yield similar results, which differ markedly, however, from the predictions of models that assume only random impurity scattering. This difference is due to the fact that equilibrium persistent currents and non-equilibrium transport coefficients are physically different things and depend in different ways on the *topology* of the defect structure in a conducting ring. Experiments on metal and semiconductor rings that should be able to determine whether this is the explanation of the effects observed by Chandrasekhar *et al* are proposed.

1. Introduction

When a conductor in its ground state is immersed in a magnetic field, it acquires a magnetic moment and an associated circulating electric current. This current, being an equilibrium property, does not dissipate. It is thus referred to as a 'persistent current'. The basic theory of persistent currents in normal metal rings was formulated by London [1] in the 1930s in the context of aromatic molecules. It was developed further by several authors in the 1960s [2-7]. More recently, Büttiker et al [8] showed that these currents should occur even in the presence of disorder. Cheung et al [9] then estimated the magnitude of the persistent currents that should flow in small, disordered normal metal rings with non-interacting electrons. They predicted that, at low temperatures, the typical persistent current should be $I_{typ} \sim I_0 l/L$ where $I_0 = ev_F/L$, e is the electron charge, L is the ring's circumference, v_F is the electron Fermi velocity, and l is the elastic mean free path. Since then, persistent currents have been observed in an array of 10⁷ micrometre-scale copper rings by Lévy et al [10], and in individual gold and semiconductor rings by Chandrasekhar et al [11] and Mailly et al [12]. The measurements on the single gold rings [11] presented a puzzle that has remained unresolved: the measured persistent currents were of order I_0 in rings for which $l/L \sim 0.01$, i.e., the observed currents were two orders of magnitude larger than had been predicted [9] for rings with such small transport mean free paths and correspondingly small conductances.

During the last three years, there have been several attempts to explain this observation as a novel effect of electron-electron interactions: very large enhancements of the persistent current due to many-body effects were predicted in three-dimensional rings [13, 14] and

also in one- and two-dimensional models [15]. However, subsequent more detailed threedimensional calculations [16-18] yielded no significant many-body enhancement of the persistent current. Also exact results of Luttinger liquid theory for defect-free rings [19] as well as exact numerical solutions of models of small systems with and without defects [20-24] have indicated that electron-electron interactions do not yield any major enhancement of the persistent current in one-dimensional rings. A recent theoretical study of two interacting electrons in a narrow two-dimensional ring also showed no enhancement of the persistent current by electron-electron interactions [25]. Furthermore, the results of persistent current measurements on two-dimensional, few-channel, quasiballistic semiconductor rings [12] have been in reasonably good agreement with the predictions of the non-interacting electron theory [9], so that it seems that there is no large many-body enhancement of the persistent current in those systems. It is also important to realize that every geometrical dimension of the gold rings in the experiments was very much larger than the electronic screening length, and that the plasma frequency of gold greatly exceeds the characteristic energy scales that appear in the physics of persistent currents. Thus from the point of view of standard manybody theory, one would expect the gold rings to behave as a classic normal metal, and there seems to be no clear physical basis for a giant many-body enhancement of the persistent current. In view of the above, although the search for a many-body explanation of the experiments of Chandrasekhar et al [11] is far from over [26], it seems reasonable to begin considering other possibilities.

The purpose of this article is to demonstrate that some simple three-dimensional models exhibit the combination of large persistent currents and small conductances that has been observed experimentally in the gold rings. These models do not introduce any unconventional electron-electron interaction effects in order to obtain large persistent currents. They are based instead on well known materials and transport properties of thin gold films.

The previous theoretical work has been on models in which random impurity scattering is the mechanism determining the electron elastic mean free path *l*, and limiting the size of the persistent current. However, the rings of Chandrasekhar *et al* [11] were fabricated from gold films grown on oxidized silicon, an amorphous substrate. Such films are polycrystalline, and experimental studies have shown [27,28] that grain boundary scattering dominates the electronic transport properties of thin polycrystalline gold films at low temperatures. Random impurity scattering and surface roughness scattering are much less important. It will be shown below that certain simple models that include grain boundary scattering exhibit the combination of large persistent currents and small conductances that is observed experimentally, but is not found in the random defect models. The large difference between the results obtained from the grain boundary and random impurity models is due to the fact that the persistent current and conductance of a ring are physically different quantities and depend in different ways on the topology of the defect structure in the ring.

It should be stressed that the puzzle presented by the experiments of Chandrasekhar *et al* [11] involves not only the persistent current but also the conductance of the ring, and that both of these quantities must be calculated on the same footing if the experimental results are to be explained convincingly. However, most of the previous theoretical studies have been devoted to the question of whether a many-body enhancement of the persistent current is possible; much less attention has been given to calculating the conductance. In the present work both the persistent current and the conductance are calculated numerically, and both calculations represent exact solutions of the models considered. The reason why the grain boundary scattering models with non-interacting electrons that are discussed in this work exhibit large persistent currents together with small conductances, while random

defect models do not, is due as much to the different behaviour of the conductance as to the different behaviour of the persistent current in the two classes of model.

Grain boundary scattering is the dominant scattering mechanism at low temperatures in thin films of gold [27,28] and some other metals [28]. It therefore needs to be considered in any quantitative explanation of the experiments of Chandrasekhar et al [11]. Although, as is shown in this article, grain boundary scattering offers a possible explanation of the experiments of Chandrasekhar et al [11], whether this will in the end turn out to be the whole story is an open question. The reason is that the gold rings in question have grain boundaries that are widely separated on the atomic scale and this 'diluteness' of the grain boundaries is very important. Three-dimensional metal rings with large numbers of widely spaced grain boundaries are difficult to simulate numerically because of the practical limitations of computers. Ab initio calculations of the electronic structure of such systems are at present out of the question. Thus the present study has been limited to very simple models with separable Hamiltonians describing rings with arbitrary numbers of grain boundaries, and to more realistic (but still simple) non-separable model Hamiltonians describing rings with small numbers of dilute grain boundaries. Some important unresolved issues are how many grain boundaries the rings of Chandrasekhar et al [11] actually contained, and if this number was large, whether the present results can be applied to that case. It seems probable that these and many other questions will need to be resolved experimentally, and several good experimental tests of the present explanation of the observations of Chandrasekhar et al [11] are suggested at the end of this paper.

It should be noted that the present paper addresses the problem of the *typical* persistent current in a *single* metal ring, the quantity measured experimentally by Chandrasekhar *et al* [11]. The problem of the *average* ring persistent current over a large *array* of rings that was measured by Lévy *et al* [10], although closely related, is significantly different. This is because the persistent currents carried by different rings in the array can differ in sign, which results in strong cancellations in the average. The theories of the average ring persistent current in large arrays of rings that have been developed to date [29, 14] have assumed that the electrons are scattered only by random impurities. In view of the results for single rings that are presented in this article, theoretical studies of arrays of rings based on models with grain boundaries would clearly be of interest, but are beyond the scope of the present paper.

2. Grain boundary models and the method of solution

Consider a thin, multichannel ring threaded by a magnetic flux, described by a Hamiltonian [30]

$$H = -\sum_{j,k,l} \zeta t_{jkl}^{\alpha} a_{jkl}^{\dagger} a_{j+1kl} + t_{jkl}^{\beta} a_{jkl}^{\dagger} a_{jk+1l} + t_{jkl}^{\gamma} a_{jkl}^{\dagger} a_{jkl+1} + \text{HC}$$

where $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i\Phi/Z)$; Φ is the magnetic flux threading the ring in units of the flux quantum h/e. Z is the circumference of the ring in units of the lattice parameter. a_{jkl}^{\dagger} is the electron creation operator at site jkl; the spin index is suppressed. The first term in H represents electron hopping in the azimuthal direction around the ring; the other two terms describe hopping in the two orthogonal directions (see the left inset of figure 1, for the hopping directions α , β and γ). For a defect-free ring, the hopping coefficients t_{jkl}^{i} in H are all taken to be equal, $t_{jkl}^{i} = t_0$. Grain boundaries are modelled by setting those t_{jkl}^{i} that represent hopping across a grain boundary equal to t_{gb} , with $t_{gb} < t_0$. This is clearly a greatly simplified model of a metal ring with grain boundaries. However, it allows one to examine for the first time the effect on the persistent current of *extended* defects that cross the ring and *partition* it into 'grains', as opposed to random impurities, which do not. This *topological* difference between random impurity and grain boundary models is crucial, as will be shown below. Another important advantage of this model is that it can be solved numerically for both the persistent current and the conductance without making approximations. Thus all of the numerical results presented in this paper constitute *exact* solutions of the model.

The persistent current I was evaluated at zero temperature by finding the eigenvalues of H numerically, and using the result [2]

$$I = -e/h \,\partial E/\partial \Phi$$

where E is total electronic ground state energy of the ring.

In addition to the persistent current, it is essential to calculate the resistance R of the ring, since the value of the key experimental parameter l/L was determined from resistance measurements. For definiteness, let R be the resistance (in the absence of magnetic fields) of the wire made by severing the ring; the length of the wire is equal to the circumference L of the ring. In this work the resistance R is evaluated from the Landauer conductance formula

$$G = 2e^2T/h$$

where R = 1/G and $T = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{tt}^{\dagger})$ is the total multichannel transmission probability through the wire at the Fermi energy [31-34]. On the other hand, in terms of the effective mean free energy path, the conductance is

$$G = 8\eta e^2 l / (3hL)$$

where η is the number of conducting channels at the Fermi energy. Comparing these expressions for the conductance yields $l/L = 3T/4\eta$. Thus, in the present work

$$\lambda \equiv 3T/4\eta$$

will represent the quantity l/L, which was inferred experimentally [11] from measured resistances. The parameter T/η that appears in λ has a simple physical meaning: it is the probability that an electron at Fermi energy is transmitted right through the wire made by severing the ring. In this formulation, the puzzle raised by the experiments of Chandrasekhar *et al* [11] and to be addressed here is why gold rings with low electron transmission probabilities (values of $\lambda \sim 0.01$) are observed to display large persistent currents, of order I_0 .

In this work, T/η , and hence λ , were calculated numerically by solving the Lippmann-Schwinger equation for the wire using a straightforward generalization to three dimensions of the method of Nonoyama *et al* [35].

The persistent current I is periodic in the flux threading the ring, fluctuates as the number of electrons in the ring is varied, and depends on the precise configuration of the defects [2–9]. All of this must be considered when specifying what is meant by the 'typical' persistent current I_{typ} . The persistent current may be written as a Fourier series in the magnetic flux:

$$I = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \sin(2\pi n \Phi).$$

In the present work, I_1 , the first Fourier coefficient, was calculated at zero temperature as a function of the number of electrons present in the ring. Its root mean square value $I_{\text{RMS}} = \sqrt{\langle I_1^2 \rangle}$ was evaluated, the average $\langle \dots \rangle$ taken over all electron populations ranging from $\frac{1}{2}$ to one electron per site [36]. I_{RMS} was then averaged over configurations of grain boundaries. This configuration average will be referred to as I_{typ} , since it is a typical value of the persistent current in an isolated ring. Since λ also fluctuates with the Fermi energy and defect configuration, λ_{av} , the average of λ over grain boundary configurations and Fermi energy was calculated. For consistency with the above definition of I_{typ} , the range of Fermi energies over which λ was averaged was $[-1.73t_0, 0]$; this corresponds to electron populations ranging from $\frac{1}{2}$ to one electron per site of Hamiltonian H, for a bulk system.

3. Results for separable Hamiltonians: simple grain boundaries

Let us consider, to start with, rings with only 'radial' grain boundaries (see the left inset of figure 1). In the Hamiltonian H, this means that for certain values of j (those at grain boundaries) $t_{jkl}^{\alpha} = t_{gb}$ for all k and l, while all other t_{jkl}^{i} are equal to t_0 [37]. Thus H is separable. (Similar results were obtained for non-separable Hamiltonians (for rings with small numbers of dilute grain boundaries running in arbitrary directions with steps on the atomic scale, and for rings with small numbers of atomically rough grain boundaries) and will be discussed in section 4.) The rings simulated had square wire cross-sections of $N \times N$ sites (see the left inset of figure 1), and aspect ratios $M \equiv Z/N$, for a ring circumference of Z sites.

In typical thin gold films the grain size is roughly equal to the thickness of the film [27, 28], which would imply that the number of grain boundaries in the ring is roughly equal to M, the aspect ratio. However the grain size can be larger for some substrates and/or after heat treatment [27]. The number of grain boundaries in the gold rings of Chandrasekhar *et al* [11] was not known accurately, but may have been significantly smaller than M [38]. However, in the absence of more detailed information, it seems reasonable to examine closely models where the number of grain boundaries is equal to M, choosing the grain boundary strength parameter t_{gb}/t_0 in such a way as to make the transmission probability λ_{av} of the ring approximate the experimental value, $\lambda_{av} \sim 0.01$. This choice means that in a ring with more grain boundaries, the reflection probability at each individual grain boundary is set to be weaker than in a ring with fewer grain boundaries so that the total transmission probability of an electron around the ring is approximately the same.

This is the case considered in figure 1, where the symbols \bigcirc , \Box , and \blacktriangle show I_{typ}/I_0 [39] and λ_{av} as a function of the wire cross-section N, computed for three choices of the aspect ratio M, for radial grain boundaries. In each case the number of grain boundaries in the ring is equal to M. The configuration averages were computed assuming grain boundaries randomly distributed around the ring. In each case, the value of t_{gb} was chosen so that $\lambda_{av} \sim 0.01$ ($t_{gb}/t_0 = 0.066$, 0.210, and 0.485 for M = 1, 4, and 16, respectively); see the lower right inset of figure 1 for the precise values of λ_{av} computed for these values of the grain boundary parameters. The straight lines in figure 1 are guides to the eye.

Notice that for $N \sim 30$, $I_{typ} \sim I_0$ even though $\lambda_{av} \sim 0.01$. This demonstrates that a model with non-interacting electrons can exhibit a large persistent current $\sim I_0$ despite the presence of a scattering that results in a short transport mean free path $l \sim 0.01L$ and correspondingly small conductance for the ring. Note also that in figure 1, for fixed M, I_{typ}/I_0 increases *linearly* with N (there are small fluctuations about the straight line behaviour), while λ_{av} slowly decreases. This behaviour is in marked contrast with the case



Figure 1. Normalized persistent current $I_{\rm typ}/I_0$ and average transmission $\lambda_{\rm av}$ against N, for radial grain boundaries, are shown as \bigcirc , \Box , and \blacktriangle for ring aspect ratios M = 1, 4, and 16 respectively. The number of grain boundaries is M. Straight lines are guides to the eye. + symbols show results for an *atomically rough* grain boundary, for M = 2 and $t_{\rm gb}/t_0 = 0.047$. Left inset, schematic diagram of a ring with four radial grain boundaries (shaded).

of random impurity scattering where I_{typ} is predicted [9] to be two orders of magnitude smaller $(I_{typ}/I_0 \sim \lambda)$ for the same value of the conductance, and I_{typ}/I_0 is predicted to be independent of N.

In figure 1, I_{typ}/I_0 also increases when the aspect ratio M (and number of grain boundaries) increases at fixed λ_{av} , but the change of I_{typ} from M = 4 to M = 16 is small. The fact that I_{typ}/I_0 increases somewhat when the number of grain boundaries increases is not unreasonable since the scattering strength of the individual grain boundaries decreases so as to keep the transmission probability λ_{av} of the whole ring approximately fixed.

Since η , the number of transverse modes of the ring, is proportional to N^2 , the linear dependence of I_{typ}/I_0 on N in figure 1 resembles the result $I_{typ} \sim I_0\sqrt{\eta}$ predicted [9] for ballistic (defect-free) rings. However here $I_{typ} \ll I_0\sqrt{\eta}$. Also, unlike the ballistic case, here one must vary not just N (which controls η) but also the circumference Z (keeping M = Z/N constant) to see linear behaviour. This is shown in figure 2, where I_{typ}/I_0 is plotted for rings with four radial grain boundaries ($t_{gb}/t_0 = 0.210$), for M = 4 and 16, and also for fixed Z = 12. Increasing N (and η) at fixed Z results in slower growth of I_{typ}/I_0 than the linear behaviour seen for fixed M = 4 and 16. Thus the channel counting arguments that yield the $\sqrt{\eta}$ scaling of I_{typ}/I_0 in *ballistic* rings are not adequate for rings with grain boundaries. For the latter, the aspect ratio M is also significant; the behaviour of I_{typ}/I_0 at very small values of M (where the *concentration* of grain boundaries in the ring is relatively high) is different from what it is at high M where the grain boundaries are more dilute. Notice also that I_{typ}/I_0 changes little from four to 16 in figure 2, or when the number of grain boundaries changes from four to 16 at fixed λ_{av} for M = 16 (compare figure 1 and figure 2).

It is of interest also to consider separately the dependence of the persistent current on the aspect ratio of the ring and on the number of grain boundaries. This is addressed in



Figure 2. I_{typ}/I_0 against N for four radial grain boundaries. \Box , + and \blacklozenge are results for fixed aspect ratios M = 4 and 16, and a fixed circumference Z = 12, respectively. Inset, I_{typ}/I_0 against N for four radial grain boundaries with $t_{gb}/t_0 = 0.210$ and a fixed aspect ratio M = 1. Note the breakdown of linearity of I_{typ}/I_0 with N at low N where the grain boundaries themselves constitute a significant fraction of the material of the ring.



Figure 3. I_{typ}/I_0 against aspect ratio *M* for a fixed wire cross-section N = 20 and for fixed numbers four and 16 of radial grain boundaries.

figure 3 and figure 4. Figure 3 shows examples of the dependence of I_{typ}/I_0 on the aspect ratio M for a fixed wire cross-section N = 20 and for fixed numbers of grain boundaries. The results for four grain boundaries with $t_{gb}/t_0 = 0.210$ and for 16 grain boundaries with $t_{gb}/t_0 = 0.485$ are shown. (These grain boundary 'strengths' are the same as for the M = 4 and M = 16 results shown in figure 1, respectively.) For small values of M, the dependence of I_{typ}/I_0 on the aspect ratio M is non-monotonic and qualitatively different in the two cases shown, but at larger values of M, I_{typ}/I_0 becomes almost independent of M, in agreement with the results shown in figure 2. The fact that the behaviour seen for very low values of M_1 in figure 3 is anomalous should not be surprising since there the grain boundaries themselves constitute a large fraction of the material of the ring and grossly alter its electronic structure.



Figure 4. •, \blacktriangle , and \blacksquare show I_{typ}/I_0 against the number of radial grain boundaries for a fixed aspect ratio M = 4 and wire cross-section N = 20, for $t_{gb}/t_0 = 0.800$, 0.485, and 0.210 respectively. \diamondsuit also show I_{typ}/I_0 for N = 20 and $t_{gb}/t_0 = 0.210$ (as for the results denoted \blacksquare), but with the aspect ratio M set equal to the number of grain boundaries, so that the *concentration* of grain boundaries in the ring is kept fixed. The straight lines are guides to the eye.

In figure 4, \bullet , \blacktriangle , and \blacksquare show I_{typ}/I_0 as function of the number of grain boundaries for a fixed aspect ratio M = 4 and wire cross-section N = 20, for $t_{\rm eb}/t_0 = 0.800, 0.485$, and 0.210 respectively. Notice that here in each case the strength of the individual grain boundaries is not changed as the number of grain boundaries in the ring increases (in contrast to figure 1). In figure 4, for small numbers of grain boundaries, the persistent current begins to decrease exponentially with increasing number of grain boundaries. As the number of grain boundaries continues to increase and the grain boundaries cease to be dilute, I_{typ}/I_0 begins to deviate from the exponential behaviour. This deviation is strongest for the more strongly scattering grain boundaries. For weak scattering the exponential behaviour persists to significantly higher numbers of grain boundaries in the ring. That the breakdown of the exponential behaviour is principally an effect of the increasing concentration of grain boundaries in the ring can be seen by considering the results denoted by \Diamond in figure 4. These again show I_{typ}/I_0 for N = 20 and $t_{gb}/t_0 = 0.210$ (as for the results denoted \blacksquare), but in this case M is not fixed but set equal to the number of grain boundaries, so that the concentration and strength of the grain boundaries in the ring are both kept fixed when the number of grain boundaries increases, i.e. here the number of grain boundaries is proportional to the circumference of the ring. In this case it can be seen that the dependence of $I_{\rm typ}/I_0$ on the number of grain boundaries is closer to exponential when the number of boundaries (and the ring circumference) are large.

If the number of grain boundaries in the ring exceeds the aspect ratio M, the linear dependence of I_{typ}/I_0 on N at constant M that is seen in figure 1 breaks down for small N.

This is illustrated in the inset of figure 2 where I_{typ}/I_0 is plotted against N for four radial grain boundaries with $t_{gb}/t_0 = 0.210$ and a fixed aspect ratio M = 1. The breakdown of the linear behaviour at low N occurs because if the aspect ratio and the number of grain boundaries are both kept fixed, the grain boundaries themselves take up an increasingly large fraction of the material of the ring as N decreases. As has already been seen for low aspect ratios M in figure 3, this changes the underlying electronic structure of the ring very strongly and modifies the behaviour of the persistent current. Clearly the gold rings of Chandrasekhar *et al* [11], where the grain boundaries most likely comprise less than 0.1% of the material of the ring, are in a dilute regime where this effect does not occur. However, it becomes a major concern when modelling rings with non-separable Hamiltonians, since only quite small systems of this type can be simulated numerically, which severely limits the number of grain boundaries in rings that can be modelled if the grain boundary concentration is to be kept low.

4. Results for non-separable Hamiltonians

The above results are for Hamiltonians with radial grain boundaries. It is necessary to establish whether they also apply to systems with grain boundaries running in *arbitrary* directions. The Hamiltonians of such systems are non-separable, and their numerical study is much more difficult, requiring the use of Lanczos algorithms [40] to calculate the electronic energy spectra. Some representative results are given in figure 5. Here, for the results denoted \bullet and \bigcirc , the number of grain boundaries is equal to the aspect ratio M, as in figure 1, and t_{gb}/t_0 is chosen so that $\lambda_{av} \sim 0.01$. The grain boundaries considered are planes slicing all the way through the ring, as is illustrated in the right inset of figure 5. Planar grain boundaries are realistic, being favoured by free energy considerations during sample preparation, but in the simulations the 'planes' were in fact stepped interfaces on the atomic scale of the lattice Hamiltonian H. Configuration averaging was performed over the possible orientations of such grain boundaries, but *excluding* boundaries parallel to the β or γ (or both the β and γ) hopping directions in the Hamiltonian. This exclusion ensured that averaging was only over non-separable Hamiltonians. These results in figure 5 are similar to those in figure 1: λ_{av} slowly decreases with increasing N. I_{tvp}/I_0 increases linearly with N for one grain boundary with M = 1 (•). For two grain boundaries with M = 2 (O), the behaviour is also consistent with linear growth of I_{typ}/I_0 with N, but the fluctuations are larger, and the accessible values of N smaller. (The fluctuations are a finite-size effect; they do not disappear when more grain boundary configurations are included in the average $I_{\rm typ}$.) These results indicate that the behaviour described above for radial grain boundaries is not specific to separable Hamiltonians. The most obvious difference between the above results for the systems with radial and non-radial grain boundaries is that in the latter case I_{typ} is smaller by a factor of about two for the same value of λ_{av} .

A feature of the results for two grain boundaries in the M = 2 structure that are denoted \bigcirc in figure 5 is that at low N where the concentration of grain boundaries in the crystal is highest, I_{typ}/I_0 is almost independent of N (for $N \le 6$). A similar but less pronounced tendency for I_{typ}/I_0 to level out at low N can also be discerned in the results \bullet for the M = 1 structure with one grain boundary. If the grain boundaries in the ring are made more concentrated, this tendency of I_{typ}/I_0 to level out at low N becomes stronger. This is illustrated by the results denoted \blacklozenge in figure 5, which show I_{typ}/I_0 for two grain boundaries in an aspect ratio M = 1 structure, with $t_{gb}/t_0 = 0.076$. Here I_{typ}/I_0 is almost independent of N for N < 15, and then shows an increase, but is not clear whether the linear growth of



Figure 5. I_{typ}/I_0 and λ_{av} against N, for non-radial grain boundaries. • and \bigcirc are results with the number of grain boundaries equal to the aspect ratio M, for aspect ratios M = 1 and 2 and $t_{gb}/t_0 = 0.060$ and 0.075 respectively. The results denoted \blacklozenge show I_{typ}/I_0 for two grain boundaries in an aspect ratio M = 1 structure, with $t_{gb}/t_0 = 0.076$. Error bars are the statistical uncertainty due to averaging over finite numbers of grain boundary configurations. Straight lines are guides to the eye. Right inset, a schematic diagram of two non-radial grain boundaries.

 I_{typ}/I_0 with N is recovered at large N or not, because of the fluctuations that I_{typ}/I_0 shows as a function of N and because of the limited range of N that is accessible numerically.

Notice that the breakdown of the linearity of I_{typ}/I_0 with N over the wide range of N seen for the two-grain-boundary M = 1 system (\blacklozenge) does not occur in the two-grain-boundary M = 2 system (\bigcirc) or the one-grain-boundary M = 1 system (\blacklozenge). Thus neither the number of grain boundaries alone nor the value of M alone controls this effect. An important factor appears to be the grain boundary concentration. These results (like those presented in figure 2, figure 3, and figure 4, and the discussion at the end of section 3) demonstrate the importance of simulating systems in which the grain boundaries are dilute, as they are in the real gold rings. However, for models with larger values of the aspect ratio M, the numerically accessible range of N shrinks very rapidly. This, together with the fluctuations that I_{typ}/I_0 shows as a function of N, makes it very difficult to establish how I_{typ}/I_0 scales with N for large numbers of dilute non-radial grain boundaries.

Although the grain boundaries discussed above correspond to non-separable Hamiltonians, are stepped on the atomic scale, and are randomly located and oriented in the ring, each of them has a perfectly regular microscopic structure (except where two grain boundaries cross). Recent high-resolution electron microscopy studies [41] have yielded very detailed and clear images of grain boundaries in gold that are perfectly ordered on the atomic scale. However, earlier work [42] indicated some grain boundaries in gold to be very well ordered, but others to exhibit some atomic scale disorder in a region along the grain boundary a few atoms thick. Since the microstructure of the grain boundaries of the gold rings of Chandrasekhar *et al* [11] was not measured, it is unclear which type of grain boundary was more typical, and it is of interest to simulate atomically rough grain boundaries as well.

Large persistent currents in small gold rings

The results of simulations for a model of a ring with an atomically rough grain boundary are shown by the + symbols in figure 1 for M = 2. This grain boundary contains a central layer identical to one of the radial grain boundaries described in section 3, but surrounded by two disordered layers. The disordered layers are constructed by making 50% of the hopping matrix elements in the α direction (at randomly chosen sites) in the lattice layers adjacent to that of the central layer also weak and equal to t_{gb} . The results for this Hamiltonian are again similar to those for the simple radial grain boundaries shown in figure 1. The effect on the (configuration-averaged RMS) persistent current of roughening the grain boundary in this way is similar to that of tilting the grain boundary, i.e., making it non-radial.

5. A comparison of grain boundary and random defect models

Why do these grain boundary models yield the combination of large persistent currents and small conductances that is observed experimentally, while random defect models do not? This is addressed in figure 6. Here I_{typ}/I_0 and λ_{av} are results for M = 1 (one radial grain boundary) taken from figure 1. I_r and λ_r are the persistent current and transmission defined in the same way as I_{typ} and λ_{av} , but with the same 'defects' (terms in H for which $t_{jkl}^{\alpha} = t_{gb}$) scattered randomly through the system instead of forming a continuous grain boundary. The striking feature is that while λ_{av} is smaller than λ_r by a factor of about 40, I_{typ} is smaller than I_r by only a factor of about two. That is, collecting the random defects into a coherent grain boundary forming a barrier extending all the way across the path of the current, reduced the transmission λ (and the conductance of the ring) far more than it did the persistent current.





This clarifies why large persistent currents occur for low conductances in the grain boundary model, but not in random defect models. The physics underlying this numerical example is that the mean free path is a *transport* property, while the persistent current is an *equilibrium* effect. The persistent current is given by the flux derivative of the total electronic energy of the ring [2]. The total energy (and hence the persistent current) is not very sensitive to the arrangement of the defects, and is not disturbed much if defects form into a grain boundary, i.e., a continuous 'barrier' across the ring, but transport (the conductance of λ) is well known to be *greatly* affected by such a barrier. Analogous (and more extreme) differences between the behaviour of transport coefficients and equilibrium quantities are commonly associated with percolation phenomena, where the topology of the sample is crucial.

This physical argument suggests that the present results should not be very sensitive to the shape of the grain boundary, so long as it forms a continuous barrier across the ring. For example, as has been shown above for a ring with one grain boundary, making the grain boundary rough on the atomic scale does not change the predictions of the model qualitatively.

It should be stressed that it is the conductance rather than the persistent current that is sensitive to the arrangement of defects in figure 6. Thus the present work suggests that the explanation of the experiments of Chandrasekhar *et al* [11] has at least as much to do with the behaviour of the conductance of the ring as with that of the persistent current, and that the conductance aspect of the problem deserves much more attention than it has received in previous theoretical studies.

It is also clear from the above discussion of figure 6 that, in order to achieve a low electron transmission probability ($\lambda \sim 0.01$), the random impurity model requires a much larger number of *atomic* defects to be present in the ring than is required in the grain boundary model (if the individual atomic defects are similar). This in turn depresses the value of the persistent current that the random impurity model predicts for a given conductance. Since the resistance of gold films is mainly due to scattering by grain boundaries [27, 28], the high concentration of defects that is required by random impurity models in order to obtain low conductances is a deficiency of those models. That is, the random defect models assume the gold rings to be much 'dirtier' than they really are. For example, computer simulations of persistent currents in rings with random impurities have traditionally employed Anderson models, in which *every* site is an impurity site and the spread of random site energies W is not very much smaller than the tight-binding energy band parameter t_0 .

6. Comparison with experiment

The amplitudes of the persistent currents measured by Chandrasekhar *et al* [11] ranged in magnitude from $0.3I_0$ to $2.0I_0$ over the three rings for which results were reported. For these rings, $N \sim 300$, so that the largest wire cross-sections N considered in figure 1 and figure 5 are about an order of magnitude smaller than in the experiments. The aspect ratios of the experimental rings were in the range $M \sim 100-200$. The numbers of grain boundaries in the rings were unknown, but the transmission probabilities of the rings could be estimated from the known conductances of similar samples to yield $\lambda \sim 0.01$, as discussed in section 2. If one assumes that the rings contain any given number of grain boundaries, one can choose the grain boundary model parameter t_{gb}/t_0 in such a way that the electron transmission probability of the model ring matches that in the experiments, and this was done in the calculations presented in figure 1 and figure 5.

One can extrapolate the results of the simulations reported in section 3 for rings with radial grain boundaries to systems with the experimental values of N and M, by using the linearity of I_{typ}/I_0 with N demonstrated in figure 1 and the fact that, for fixed N and fixed numbers of grain boundaries, I_{typ}/I_0 is independent of M at large M, as shown in figure 3. This yields $I_{typ} \sim 10I_0$ for rings with the experimental dimensions, if the rings contain four or 16 grain boundaries and the ring conductance matches experiment, i.e. $\lambda \sim 0.01$. It should be noted that in the models with radial grain boundaries, for large numbers of grain

boundaries and large M, I_{typ}/I_0 is insensitive to both M and the number of grain boundaries if λ is held fixed. Thus the prediction $I_{typ} \sim 10I_0$ for the radial grain boundary models is insensitive to the number of grain boundaries that one assumes the ring to contain, if the ring's N, M, and conductance are required to match their experimental values.

For non-radial grain boundaries, one can extrapolate the linear behaviour of the results seen in figure 5 for M = 1 structures with one grain boundary, and for M = 2 structures with two grain boundaries, to the experimental value of N, while holding M fixed. This yields $I_{typ} \sim 3I_0$ if N and the conductance of the ring take the experimental values. For two grain boundaries, the results of figure 5 show that I_{typ}/I_0 increases when M increases from one to two. Thus it is not unreasonable to expect rings with one or two non-radial grain boundaries, and having the experimental values of N, M, and the conductance, to exhibit large values of the persistent current $I_{typ} \gtrsim 3I_0$ that are quite similar to those observed by Chandrasekhar *et al* [11]. However, as discussed in section 4, for large numbers of non-radial grain boundaries the important dilute regime (of large N and M) is not readily accessible to numerical investigation; a definitive treatment of this regime is beyond the scope of the present work.

It should also be emphasized that both the separable and non-separable Hamiltonians considered in this article represent very simple models of grain boundaries. Complete *ab initio* calculations of more realistic grain boundary structures, of the associated electronic eigenstates, and of the persistent currents and transport in rings containing them would clearly be of interest.

To summarize: there is good experimental evidence that grain boundary scattering is the dominant electronic scattering mechanism in thin gold films [27,28]. The present simulations have demonstrated that exact solutions of some simple models that include grain boundary scattering exhibit the combination of large persistent currents and small conductances that is observed experimentally in gold rings [11], but cannot be explained by random impurity models with non-interacting electrons. The random impurity models yield persistent currents that are too small by factors of 30–150 [11]. However, it is unclear how many grain boundaries the gold rings of Chandrasekhar *et al* [11] actually contained, and whether more realistic models or models with many non-radial grain boundaries can account for the experimental data as well as the simple models discussed above do is yet to be determined.

7. Proposed experimental tests.

It is clear that direct experimental tests of the predictions of the grain boundary scattering explanation of the persistent current measurements of Chandrasekhar *et al* [11] are needed, and some are suggested below.

(i) The experimental data reported by Chandrasekhar *et al* [11] exhibited a sample to sample variation of the size of the observed persistent current (I/I_0) by a factor of about seven, over the three samples. This is consistent with the idea that scattering by grain boundaries (whose number varies randomly from sample to sample) controls the magnitude of the persistent current (see, for example, figure 4). However, similar variations in the magnitude of the persistent current are also found to occur in simulations if the number of grain boundaries is kept fixed but their *configuration* changes. (Recall that the numerical values of I_{typ} presented in this paper are grain boundary configuration averages of RMS values, as defined in section 2.) It would be of interest to establish experimentally whether there is a correlation between the magnitude of the persistent current and the number of

grain boundaries that the sample contains, by performing persistent current measurements followed by spatially resolved electron diffraction measurements on a series of samples, but in such a study it would be important to bear in mind the sensitivity of the persistent current to the details of the grain boundary configuration; comparisons between a small number of samples with similar numbers of grain boundaries would not be significant. It would also be very desirable to measure the conductances of the same samples directly instead of relying on conductance measurements on similar samples, as has been done in the past.

(ii) It should be possible to fabricate *single-crystal* gold rings that do not contain any grain boundaries at all. These should exhibit significantly larger persistent currents, than those that have been observed to date.

(iii) If single-crystal rings of gold *alloys* can be fabricated, these should exhibit *weak* persistent currents for low conductances, since the random impurity theories [9, 16] should apply to such systems.

(iv) Semiconductor rings such as those of Mailly *et al* [12] do not contain any crystal grain boundaries in the conducting region. The quasiballistic rings of this type that have been studied to date have shown no evidence of any anomalous behaviour; the magnitudes of the persistent currents observed in them are consistent with the predictions of standard, non-interacting electron theories, which for this case predict that $I_{typ} \sim I_0$ since the number of channels is small and $l \gtrsim L$. By introducing impurities directly into the region occupied by the conducting electrons it should be possible to fabricate diffusive semiconductor rings for which $l \ll L$ but which are still free from grain boundaries. It would be very interesting to establish whether or not large persistent currents and conductances of such samples for this test to be meaningful. It should also be stressed that a truly diffusive system, preferably with point-defect-type scatterers, is required for this test to be convincing—using *charged* random impurities with long-range interactions can result in a few electronic modes following a preferred path through the ring and thus yield misleading results.

(v) Although the semiconductor rings are free from grain boundaries, it is possible to impose an electrostatic potential barrier (the analogue of a grain boundary) across such a ring by means of a gate. In such a system it should be possible to measure both the transmission probability of electrons through the barrier and the persistent current in the ring. It is predicted [43] that in the regime of quantum tunnelling, with the Fermi energy electrons just below the top of the barrier, large persistent currents $\sim I_0$ can coexist with small electron transmission probabilities much less than unity. It would be of interest to observe this semiconductor analogue of the large persistent currents that coexist with low conductances in small gold rings.

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank C Barnes, B Heinrich, B L Johnson, D Loss, and R A Webb for interesting discussions. This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

- [1] London F 1937 J. Phys. Radium 8 397
- [2] Byers N and Yang C N 1961 Phys. Rev. Lett. 7 46
- [3] Bloch F 1965 Phys. Rev. 137 A787

- [4] Schick M 1968 Phys. Rev. 166 401
- [5] Gunter L and Imry Y 1969 Solid State Commun. 7 1391
- [6] Bloch F 1970 Phys. Rev. B 2 109
- [7] Kulik I O 1970 JETP Lett. 11 275
- [8] Büttiker M, Imry Y and Landauer R 1983 Phys. Lett. 96A 365
- [9] Cheung H F, Riedel E K and Gefen Y 1989 Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 587
- [10] Lévy L P, Dolan G, Dunsmuir J and Bouchiat H 1990 Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 2074
- [11] Chandrasekhar V, Webb R A, Brady M J, Ketchen M B, Gallagher W J and Kleinsasser A 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 3578
- [12] Mailly D, Chapelier C and Benoit A 1993 Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 2020
- [13] Eckern U and Schmid A 1992 Europhys. Lett. 18 457
- [14] Kopietz P 1993 Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 3123
- [15] Müller-Groeling A, Weidenmüller H A and Lewenkopf C H 1993 Europhys. Lett. 22 193
- [16] Smith R A and Ambegaokar V 1992 Europhys. Lett. 20 161
- [17] Vignale G 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 433
- [18] Altland A and Gefen Y 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 2973
- [19] Loss D 1992 Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 343
- [20] Abraham M and Berkovits R 1993 Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 1509
- [21] Bouzerar G, Poilblanc D and Montambaux G 1994 Phys. Rev. B 49 8258
- [22] Kato H and Yoshioka D 1994 Phys. Rev. B 50 4943
- [23] Deng W, Liu Y and Gong C 1994 Phys. Rev. B 50 7655
- [24] Johnson B L and Kirczenow G 1995 unpublished
- [25] Wendler L, Fomin V M and Chaplik A V Superlatt. Microstruct. at press
- [26] Büttiker M Phys. Scr. at press
- [27] van Attekum P M Th M, Woerlee P H, Verkade G C and Hoeben A A M 1984 Phys. Rev. B 29 645
- [28] de Vries J W C 1988 Thin Solid Films 167 25
- [29] Ambegaokar V and Eckern U 1990 Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 381
 Schmid A 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 80
 von Oppen F and Riedel E K 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 84
 - Altshuler B L, Gefen Y and Imry Y 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 88
 - Altshuler B L 1992 Nanostructures and Mesoscopic Phenomena ed W P Kirk and M A Reed (San Diego, CA: Academic)
 - Vignale G 1994 Phys. Rev. B 50 7668
- [30] For a simple derivation see Kirczenow G 1985 Phys. Rev. B 32 7952
- [31] Landauer R 1970 Phil, Mag. 21 863
- [32] Fisher D A and Lee P A 1981 Phys. Rev. B 23 6851
- [33] Economou E N and Soukoulis C M 1981 Phys. Rev. Lett. 46 618
- [34] Imry Y 1986 Directions in Condensed Matter Physics ed G Grinstein and G Masenko (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [35] Nonoyama S, Nakamura A, Aoyagi Y, Sugano T and Okiji A 1993 Phys. Rev. B 47 2423
- [36] The Zeeman splitting between spin-up and down one-electron states was ignored.
- [37] Using site energies instead of hopping terms to model grain boundaries yields similar results.
- [38] Webb R A [994 private communication
- [39] For simplicity, $I_0 = ev_F/L$ was evaluated using the largest bulk values of v_F (corresponding to an occupancy of one electron per site) that is consistent with the Hamiltonian H. This yields $I_0 = 4\pi\sqrt{3}t_0e/(Zh)$.
- [40] See Cullum J and Willoughby R A 1985 Lanczos Algorithms for Large Symmetric Eigenvalue Computations (Basel: Birkhauser)
- [41] Krakow W 1992 Ultramicroscopy 45 269; 1992 Acta Metall. Mater. 40 977; 1990 Acta Metall. Mater. 38 1031
- [42] Ichinose H and Ishida Y 1985 J. Physique Coll. 46 39
- [43] Kirczenow G 1993 Superlatt. Microstruct. 14 237